arXiv:1507.02294v3 [hep-th] 22 Sep 2015

MI-TH-1525

Generalised Smarr Formula and the Viscosity Bound for Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton Black Holes

Hai-Shan Liu [1,3], **H. Lü** [2] **and C.N. Pope** [3,4]

[1] *Institute for Advanced Physics & Mathematics,*
Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310023, China

[2] *Center for Advanced Quantum Studies, Department of Physics,*
Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

[3] *George P. & Cynthia Woods Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy,*
Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

[4] *DAMTP, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge University,*
Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 OWA, UK

ABSTRACT

We study the shear viscosity to entropy ratio η/S in the boundary field theories dual to black hole backgrounds in theories of gravity coupled to a scalar field, and generalisations including a Maxwell field and non-minimal scalar couplings. Motivated by the observation in simple examples that the saturation of the $\eta/S \geq 1/(4\pi)$ bound is correlated with the existence of a generalised Smarr relation for the planar black-hole solutions, we investigate this in detail for the general black-hole solutions in these theories, focusing especially on the cases where the scalar field plays a non-trivial role and gives rise to an additional parameter in the space of solutions. We find that a generalised Smarr relation holds in all cases, and in fact it can be viewed as the bulk gravity dual of the statement of the saturation of the viscosity to entropy bound. We obtain the generalised Smarr relation, whose existence depends upon a scaling symmetry of the planar black-hole solutions, by two different but related methods, one based on integrating the first law of thermodynamics, and the other based on the construction of a conserved Noether charge.

Emails: hsliu.zju@gmail.com mrhonglu@gmail.com pope@physics.tamu.edu

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Viscosity Bound in Einstein-Scalar Theories **6**

3 Planar Black Hole Thermodynamics and Smarr Relation **9**

3.1 Review of the thermodynamics of spherically-symmetric black holes 9

3.2 The planar limit of the spherically-symmetric black holes 12

3.3 Thermodynamics and Smarr formula for planar black holes 13

3.4 $\log r$ terms and anomalous scaling 16

3.5 Imaginary $\sigma = \mathrm{i}\,\tilde{\sigma}$ 19

4 Viscosity Ratio for the Einstein-Scalar Planar Black Holes **20**

5 Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton Theory **21**

5.1 General result 21

5.2 Dyonic Kaluza-Klein AdS black hole 24

6 Non-Minimally Coupled Scalar **25**

7 Noether Charge, Generalised Smarr formula and Viscosity Bound **26**

7.1 Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton theory 27

7.2 Gauss-Bonnet gravity 28

8 Conclusions **29**

A Dimensional Scaling and the Standard Smarr Relation **31**

1 Introduction

The AdS/CFT correspondence [1–3] has provided many remarkable insights into the connections between gravitational backgrounds in string theory or more general settings, and strongly-coupled field theories on the boundary of asymptotically anti-de Sitter spacetimes. One of the most striking results that has emerged is the intriguing universality of the ratio $\eta/S = 1/(4\pi)$ of the shear viscosity to entropy for wide classes of gauge theories that are dual to gravitational backgrounds. This led to the proposal [4–6] of a universal bound $\eta/S \geq 1/(4\pi)$ for all materials. A number of papers have demonstrated the universality of

this bound for a variety of supergravity and gravity theories [7–10]. (See [11] for a review.) It was shown in [12] that the shear viscosity is determined by the effective coupling constant of the transverse graviton on the horizon, by employing the membrane paradigm. (This was confirmed by using the Kubo formula in [13, 14].) In [15], it was shown that the black hole entropy is determined by the effective Newtonian coupling at the horizon, and that it is thus not surprising that the ratio of the shear viscosity to the entropy density is universal in the sense that the dependence of the quantity on the horizon is canceled. Nevertheless, it naturally became of interest to seek counter-examples to the conjecture, but within the framework of standard two-derivative field theories, the bound seems to have been remarkably robust. A violation was found, however, in the result for η/S for the case of a bulk five-dimensional theory of Einstein gravity with a Gauss-Bonnet quadratic curvature correction [16, 17]. Non-universality also occurs in anisotropic configurations, where the local rotational symmetry is broken [18–21]. In this paper, we shall focus only on isotropic configurations in two-derivative gravities.

It is of interest to try to uncover some underlying understanding for why the saturation of the $\eta/S \geq 1/(4\pi)$ is seemingly so widespread in the classes of theories that have been studied. A possible line of thinking is suggested if we begin by looking at the simple example of the calculation of η for the case of a planar Schwarzschild-AdS$_n$ black hole in pure Einstein gravity with a cosmological constant, for which the metric is

$$ds^2 = -\Big(g^2 r^2 - \frac{\mu}{r^{n-3}}\Big)\, dt^2 + \Big(g^2 r^2 - \frac{\mu}{r^{n-3}}\Big)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2\, dx^i dx^i\,. \tag{1.1}$$

One finds that

$$\eta = \frac{(n-1)\, M}{4\pi\,(n-2)\, T}\,, \tag{1.2}$$

where $M = (n-2)\,\mu/(16\pi)$ is the mass per unit $(n-2)$-area and T is the Hawking temperature. From the scaling symmetry

$$r = \lambda\, \hat{r}\,, \quad x^i = \lambda^{-1}\, \hat{x}^i\,, \quad t = \lambda^{-1}\, \hat{t}\,, \quad \mu = \lambda^{n-1}\, \hat{\mu} \tag{1.3}$$

of the solution, and the scaling symmetries $T = \lambda\, \hat{T}$ and $S = \lambda^{n-2}\, \hat{S}$ of the Hawking temperature and entropy, one has $M(\lambda^{n-2}\, \hat{S}) = \lambda^{n-1}\, \hat{M}(\hat{S})$, and hence acting with $\lambda\, \partial/\partial\lambda$ one can easily derive from the first law of thermodynamics $dM = T dS$ that

$$M = \frac{n-2}{n-1}\, TS \tag{1.4}$$

for the planar Schwarzschild-AdS solution.[1] Substituting (1.4) into (1.2), we see that the

[1] This is a generalisation, which works only for planar black holes, of the well-known Smarr relation

result $\eta/S = 1/(4\pi)$ in this example can be attributed to the fact that the generalised Smarr relation (1.4) holds for the planar Schwarzschild black holes.

In view of this observation in the simple example of the planar Schwarzschild black holes, it is tempting to conjecture that the universality of the viscosity to entropy ratio for the variety of gravitational backgrounds that have been tested might be attributable to the universal validity of the appropriate extension of the generalised Smarr relation (1.4).

It is not hard to check in some more complicated examples, such as the case of charged planar black holes in Einstein-Maxwell theory with a cosmological constant, that indeed the calculated $1/(4\pi)$ ratio for the viscosity to entropy in this case is implied by the generalised Smarr relation

$$M = \frac{n-2}{n-1}\,(TS + \Phi\, Q)\,, \tag{1.5}$$

where Φ is the potential difference between the horizon and infinity, and Q is the conserved charge per unit area.

In this paper, our focus is on some rather more complicated examples of theories admitting asymptotically-AdS black holes, in which a scalar field with a scalar potential is present. Our reason for considering such cases is that one derivation of the generalised Smarr relation essentially follows from thermodynamical considerations, and the thermodynamics of black holes in these theories is quite subtle, and even somewhat controversial. We shall show that nevertheless, by following procedures along the lines of those we described above in the simple example of planar Schwarzschild-AdS black holes, we are able to derive generalised Smarr relations that allow us to prove that the widely universal $\eta/S = 1/(4\pi)$ result holds in these cases too.

A rather remarkable aspect of the generalised Smarr relations we obtain is the following. The general planar black-hole solutions in the Einstein-Scalar theories that we consider depend on two independent parameters (mass, and what may loosely be called "scalar charge"), but these solutions seemingly cannot be constructed explicitly, on account of the complexity of the equations. One can construct the solutions numerically, by setting initial data just outside the horizon and then integrating the equations of motion out to infinity. One can hence determine the parameters of the asymptotic solution numerically in terms of the parameters on the horizon, but this would appear on the face of it to preclude the

$M = [(n-2)/(n-3)]\,TS$ for asymptotically-flat Schwarzschild black holes in n dimensions. (See [22] for the original discussion of the Smarr formula in four dimensions.) The reason for the different coefficient of TS is that a different scaling symmetry arises in the asymptotically-flat case. We discuss the distinction between the two types of Smarr relation in appendix A.

possibility of obtaining an exact result for η/S, since this, as we have seen in the simple example, is of the general form of M (an asymptotic quantity) divided by TS (quantities defined on the horizon). However, we find that for the Einstein-Scalar black holes we can derive a generalised Smarr formula that does precisely what is wanted, by providing an exact formula expressing the mass in terms of the product TS. Thus although the expressions for the full set of asymptotic quantities in the solutions can indeed only be found numerically in terms of the horizon quantities, the precise one we need in order to calculate η/S can be calculated exactly.

The bulk of this paper, therefore, is concerned with an exploration of the generalised Smarr formula for planar black holes in certain theories involving a scalar field in addition to gravity. First, though, we begin in section 2 with a derivation of the shear viscosity in the Einstein-Scalar theories, by considering transverse-traceless metric fluctuations around planar black-hole backgrounds. In section 3 we give a review of the thermodynamics of black holes in Einstein-Scalar theories, and then we use this in order to derive the generalised Smarr relation that the planar black holes satisfy. In section 4 we combine the results of sections 2 and 3, to show that we obtain the universal result $\eta/S = 1/(4\pi)$ in all these examples, as a consequence of the universal validity of the generalised Smarr relation.i[2] In section 5 we extend our results to planar black holes in Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton theories. This discussion includes the added subtleties that arise in four dimensions, where non-trivial dilatonic black holes carrying both electric and magnetic charge can arise. In section 6 we extend the discussion further, by considering theories where the scalar field couples non-minimally to gravity. Section 7 contains a rather different derivation of the generalised Smarr relation for the wide class of Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton theories, where the scalar couples minimally or non-minimally to gravity, based on the existence of a conserved Noether charge in the planar black-hole solutions. We end with conclusions in section 8. In an appendix, we contrast the generalised Smarr relation for planar asymptotically-AdS black-hole solutions with the traditional Smarr relation for asymptotically-flat spherically-symmetric black holes.

[2]A rather different approach that relates the $\eta/S = 1/(4\pi)$ result to universal features of black holes was discussed in [6], where it was shown to be related to the low-energy graviton absoprtion cross section.

2 Viscosity Bound in Einstein-Scalar Theories

In this section, we give a derivation of the η/s ratio for black holes in the theory of a scalar field minimally coupled to gravity in a general dimension n, and with a general scalar potential $V(\phi)$. The theory is described by the n-dimensional Lagrangian

$$e^{-1}\,\mathcal{L}_n = R - \tfrac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - V(\phi)\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where $e = \sqrt{-\det g_{\mu\nu}}$. We may take the n-dimensional action to be

$$S_n = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int \mathcal{L}_n\, d^n x\,. \tag{2.2}$$

The equations of motion are given by

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\,\partial_\nu\phi + \frac{V}{n-2}\,g_{\mu\nu}\,, \qquad \Box\phi = \frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi}\,. \tag{2.3}$$

The black holes we shall consider, with flat spatial sections, take the general form

$$ds^2 = -h\,dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f} + r^2\,dx^i dx^i\,, \tag{2.4}$$

where h and f are functions only of r. Substituting into (2.3) gives the equations

$$\frac{V}{n-2} + \frac{fh'}{2rh} + \frac{f'}{2r} + \frac{(n-3)\,f}{r^2} = 0\,, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\frac{h''}{h} - \frac{h'^2}{2h^2} + \frac{h'\,f'}{2fh} - \frac{f'}{rf} + \frac{(n-3)\,h'}{rh} - \frac{2(n-3)}{r^2} = 0\,, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\frac{(n-2)}{r}\Big(\frac{f'}{f} - \frac{h'}{h}\Big) = \phi'^2\,, \tag{2.7}$$

$$f\,\phi'' + \Big(\tfrac{1}{2}f' + \frac{fh'}{2h} + \frac{(n-2)\,f}{r}\Big)\,\phi' - \frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi} = 0\,. \tag{2.8}$$

We then consider a transverse-traceless metric perturbation in the $(n-2)$-dimensional space of the spatial planar section, by making the replacement

$$dx^i dx^i \longrightarrow dx^i dx^i + 2\Psi\,dx^1 dx^2\,, \tag{2.9}$$

where for the present purposes it suffices to allow Ψ to depend on r and t only. At the linearised level one finds, after making use of the background equations (2.5-2.8), that Ψ satisfies

$$f\,\Psi'' + \Big[\frac{fh'}{2h} + \frac{(n-2)\,f}{r} + \tfrac{1}{2}f'\Big]\,\Psi' - \frac{1}{h}\,\ddot{\Psi} = 0\,. \tag{2.10}$$

For a perturbation of the form $\Psi(t,r) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega t}\,\psi(r)$, we therefore have

$$f\,\psi'' + \Big[\frac{fh'}{2h} + \frac{(n-2)\,f}{r} + \tfrac{1}{2}f'\Big]\,\psi' + \frac{\omega^2}{h}\,\psi = 0\,. \tag{2.11}$$

If we consider a black hole solution of the equations (2.5-2.8), with an horizon located at $r = r_0$, then near the horizon we shall have the expansions

$$h(r) = h_1\,[(r-r_0) + h_2\,(r-r_0)^2 + \cdots]\,, \qquad f(r) = f_1\,(r-r_0) + f_2\,(r-r_0)^2 + \cdots\,. \tag{2.12}$$

(We have written $h(r)$ with an overall scale h_1, which is a "trivial" parameter, in the sense that it can be absorbed into a rescaling of the time coordinate t.) The equation (2.11) therefore takes the form

$$(r-r_0)^2\,f_1 h_1\,\psi'' + (r-r_0)\,f_1 h_1\,\psi' + \omega^2\,\psi \approx 0 \tag{2.13}$$

near the horizon. This can be solved exactly, leading to the near-horizon ingoing solution

$$\psi_{\rm in} \propto \exp\Big[-\frac{{\rm i}\,\omega\,\log(r-r_0)}{\sqrt{f_1 h_1}}\Big]\,. \tag{2.14}$$

(The second, outgoing, solution is obtained by sending $\omega \longrightarrow -\omega$ in (2.14).)

We assume that the black hole is asymptotic to AdS_n with $R_{\mu\nu} = -(n-1)\,g^2\,g_{\mu\nu}$ at infinity, where g is the inverse AdS_n radius and so the scalar potential is such that $V_\infty = V(\phi(\infty)) = -(n-1)(n-2)\,g^2$. Furthermore, we shall focus on situations where the metric functions h and f at large r take the form

$$h(r) = g^2 r^2 - \frac{\mu}{r^{n-3}} + \cdots\,, \qquad f(r) = g^2 r^2 + \cdots\,. \tag{2.15}$$

where the ellipses indicate terms with faster fall-offs than those preceding them. It is convenient then to rewrite the near-horizon metric perturbation (2.14) in the form

$$\psi_{\rm in} = \exp\Big[-\frac{{\rm i}\,\omega}{\sqrt{f_1 h_1}}\,\log\frac{h(r)}{g^2 r^2}\Big]\,. \tag{2.16}$$

We may then seek the solution for the metric perturbation away from the horizon, in the approximation where ω is small. This suffices for the subsequent purpose of calculating the viscosity in the boundary theory, since in the Kubo formula we need only know ψ up to linear order in ω. Making an ansatz of the form

$$\psi(r) = \exp\Big[-\frac{{\rm i}\,\omega}{\sqrt{f_1 h_1}}\,\log\frac{h(r)}{g^2 r^2}\Big]\,\Big(1 - {\rm i}\,\omega U(r)\Big)\,, \tag{2.17}$$

and keeping terms only up to linear order in ω, we find that $U(r)$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{U''}{U'} = -\frac{n-2}{r} - \frac{(fh)'}{2fh}\,, \tag{2.18}$$

which can be solved to give

$$U(r) = c_0 + c_1\,\int_{r_0}^{r} \frac{dr'}{r'^{n-2}\,\sqrt{f(r')\,h(r')}}\,. \tag{2.19}$$

In view of the near-horizon expansions (2.12), we see that $U(r)$ would be logarithmically singular near $r = r_0$ unless we take $c_1 = 0$. Since we wish to normalise the metric perturbation so that $\psi(r) \longrightarrow 1$ at $r = \infty$, we therefore conclude that the required solution, valid to linear order in ω, is simply

$$\psi(r) = \exp\Big[-\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\omega}{\sqrt{f_1 h_1}}\, \log\frac{h(r)}{g^2 r^2}\Big]\,. \tag{2.20}$$

We see from this, and using (2.15), that at large r we have the expansion

$$\psi(r) = 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\,\omega\mu}{\sqrt{f_1 h_1}\, g^2\, r^{n-1}} + \cdots\,. \tag{2.21}$$

Bearing in mind that $f_1 = f'(r_0)$ and $h_1 = h'(r_0)$, and that the Hawking temperature for black holes of the form (2.4) is given by

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{f'(r_0)\, h'(r_0)}}{4\pi}\,, \tag{2.22}$$

we see that the metric perturbation has the asymptotic form

$$\psi(r) = 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\,\omega\mu}{4\pi g^2\, T}\, \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} + \cdots\,. \tag{2.23}$$

We can then calculate the viscosity using standard methods described in the literature. For our purposes, it is convenient to follow the procedure given in [17, 23], making use of the Kubo formula. The first step involves calculating the terms in the action at quadratic order in the metric perturbation $\Psi(t, r)$. One should include the Gibbons-Hawking term in the original action when doing this, but the net effect of doing so is simply that the required quadratic action is the one where second derivatives on Ψ have been removed by performing integrations by parts. Thus we find the action at quadratic order is given by

$$S_n^{(2)} = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^n x\Big[P_1\,\Psi'^2 + P_2\,\Psi\,\Psi' + P_3\,\Psi^2 + P_4\,\dot\Psi^2\Big]\,, \tag{2.24}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= -\tfrac{1}{2} r^{n-2}\,\sqrt{fh}\,, \quad P_2 = 2r^{n-3}\,\sqrt{fh}\,,\\ P_3 &= r^{n-4}\,\sqrt{fh}\,\Big(n-3+\frac{r(fh)'}{2fh}\Big)\,, \quad P_4 = \frac{r^{n-2}}{2\sqrt{fh}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

The integrand in (2.24) can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dr}\big(P_1\,\Psi\Psi' + \tfrac{1}{2}P_2\Psi^2\big) + \frac{d}{dt}\,\big(P_4\,\Psi\dot\Psi\big) - \Psi\,\Big[P_1\,\Psi'' + P_1'\,\Psi' + P_4\,\Psi''\Big]\,, \tag{2.26}$$

where we have used that $P_3 - \frac{1}{2}P_2' = 0$. The last term, enclosed in the square brackets, vanishes by virtue of the linearised equation (2.10) satisfied by Ψ, and so the integrand in

(2.24) is a total derivative. The prescription described in [17,23] requires knowing only the $P_1\,\Psi\Psi'$ term, for which we have

$$\int \mathcal{L}_n^{(2)}\, dt d^{n-2}x = -\tfrac{1}{2}\, r^{n-2}\, \sqrt{fh}\, \Psi\Psi'\Big|_{r=\infty}\,, \tag{2.27}$$

Hence, from (2.23), we have

$$\int \mathcal{L}_n^{(2)}\, dt d^{n-2}x = \frac{\mathrm{i}\,\omega\mu(n-1)}{4\pi\, T}\,. \tag{2.28}$$

Using the prescription in [17,23], we therefore find that the viscosity is given by

$$\eta = \frac{(n-1)\,\mu}{64\pi^2 T}\,. \tag{2.29}$$

It is worthwhile to emphasise at this point that the derivation we have presented is valid for *any* planar black hole solution of the equations of motion (2.3) that takes the form (2.4). That is to say, the result (2.29) was obtained without needing to know the explicit form of the black hole solutions and without needing to know the explicit form of the scalar potential $V(\phi)$. Thus we are able to calculate the viscosity for the general two-parameter black hole solutions to the equations (2.5-2.8) explicitly, and for an arbitrary choice of the scalar potential V, even though the general two-parameter solutions can only be found numerically.

3 Planar Black Hole Thermodynamics and Smarr Relation

3.1 Review of the thermodynamics of spherically-symmetric black holes

The thermodynamics of the general spherically-symmetric static black hole solutions of the Einstein-Scalar theory described by (2.1) has been discussed in [24,25]. These black holes can be written in the form

$$ds^2 = -h dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f} + r^2\, d\Omega_{n-2}^2\,, \tag{3.1}$$

where $d\Omega_{n-2}^2$ is the metric on the unit $(n-2)$-sphere. If we assume the scalar potential $V(\phi)$ has a Taylor expansion around a stationary point at $\phi = 0$ of the form

$$V(\phi) = -(n-1)(n-2)g^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}m^2\,\phi^2 + \gamma_3\,\phi^3 + \gamma_4\,\phi^4 + \cdots\,, \tag{3.2}$$

then m is the mass of the scalar field ϕ in the asymptotically-AdS background. Defining

$$\sigma = \sqrt{4\ell^2\, m^2 + (n-1)^2}\,, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\ell = 1/g$ is the AdS "radius," one finds that the asymptotic behaviour of the scalar field is of the form

$$\phi(r) = \frac{\phi_1}{r^{(n-1-\sigma)/2}} + \frac{\phi_2}{r^{(n-1+\sigma)/2}} + \cdots . \tag{3.4}$$

The metric functions $h(r)$ and $f(r)$ have the asymptotic forms[3]

$$h(r) = g^2 r^2 + 1 - \frac{\mu}{r^{n-3}} + \cdots , \qquad f(r) = g^2 r^2 + 1 + \cdots . \tag{3.5}$$

By substituting into the equations of motion, it is easy to see that the large-r expansion has three independent parameters, which we may take to be μ, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2. All the remaining higher-order coefficients are determined in terms of these.

If we assume there is a black hole solution with an horizon at $r = r_0$, the metric functions and scalar field will have near-horizon expansions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} h(r) &= h_1 \left[(r-r_0) + h_2 \, (r-r_0)^2 + h_3 \, (r-r_0)^3 + \cdots\right] , \\ f(r) &= f_1 \, (r-r_0) + f_2 \, (r-r_0)^2 + f_3 \, (r-r_0)^3 + \cdots , \\ \phi(r) &= \tilde{\phi}_0 + \tilde{\phi}_1 \, (r-r_0) + \tilde{\phi}_2 \, (r-r_0)^2 + \tilde{\phi}_3 \, (r-r_0)^3 + \cdots . \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

(The tilded coefficients in the near-horizon ϕ field expansion are not the same as the expansion coefficients in the large-r expansion (3.4).) Note that h_1, which is common to all the terms in the h expansion, is a trivial parameter that is associated with the freedom to rescale the t coordinate. By plugging the expansions into the equations of motion, one finds that h_1 is, as expected, trivial and undetermined, and there are two non-trivial parameters, which we may take to be r_0 and $\tilde{\phi}_0$. All the other coefficients are then determined in terms of these.

Although one cannot obtain the general black-hole solutions to the equations of motion explicitly, it is easy to see by considering what happens if one integrates out to infinity, starting from the family of near-horizon solutions coming from (3.6), which have two non-trivial parameters, that all the members of the family will evolve in a non-singular fashion to match on to members of the three-parameter family of asymptotic solutions we discussed above. Thus, we will find that the three parameters in the asymptotic solutions are functions of the two non-trivial parameters of the near-horizon solutions:

$$\mu = \mu(r_0, \tilde{\phi}_0) , \qquad \phi_1 = \phi_1(r_0, \tilde{\phi}_0) , \qquad \phi_2 = \phi_2(r_0, \tilde{\phi}_0) . \tag{3.7}$$

[3]Depending on the value of the scalar mass parameter m^2, there could be circumstances where there are terms in the large-r expansion (3.4) have slower fall-offs than those displayed explicitly. In order to keep the discussion as simple as possible, we shall postpone the discussion of such cases until later. The "standard" cases that we shall discuss first occur when $0 < \sigma < 1$.

We can, if desired, view these parametric relations instead as saying

$$\phi_2 = \phi_2(\mu, \phi_1)\,, \tag{3.8}$$

so that we regard the asymptotic parameters μ and ϕ_1 as the independently-adjustable parameters of the general two-parameter black-hole solutions, with ϕ_2 determined as a function of μ and ϕ_1. Numerical calculations straightforwardly confirm the existence of the two-parameter family of black-hole solutions.

The fact that the full two-parameter family of black-hole solutions cannot be constructed explicitly makes it a little difficult to give a complete discussion of the black-hole dynamics or thermodynamics. However, one can make some progress by applying Wald's analysis of conserved charges associated with symmetries of the spacetime [26, 27]. Applying it to the timelike Killing vector $\partial/\partial t$, one derives the following variations at infinity and on the horizon [25]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\mathcal{H}_\infty &= \frac{\omega_{n-2}}{16\pi}\Big[(n-2)\delta\mu + \delta K(\phi_1) - \frac{\sigma\, g^2}{2(n-1)}\left[(n-1-\sigma)\,\phi_1\,\delta\phi_2 - (n-1+\sigma)\,\phi_2\,\delta\phi_1\right]\Big]\,,\\
\delta\mathcal{H}_{r_0} &= T\delta S\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where ω_{n-2} is the volume of the unit $(n-2)$-sphere, $T = \kappa/(2\pi)$ is the Hawking temperature and $S = \frac{1}{4}A$ is the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. The function $K(\phi_1)$ is a polynomial in ϕ_1, with coefficients determined by the parameters in the Einstein-Scalar theory. For generic values of the parameters $K(\phi_1)$ is zero, but for special values, such as when σ is an integer, $\log r$ terms generally occur in the asymptotic expansions and their occurrence is associated with $K(\phi_1)$ being non-zero [25]. The Wald calculation shows that $\delta\mathcal{H}_\infty = \delta\mathcal{H}_{r_0}$, and hence one has [25]

$$\frac{\omega_{n-2}}{16\pi}\left[(n-2)d\mu + dK\right] = TdS + \frac{\sigma\, g^2\,\omega_{n-2}}{32\pi(n-1)}\left[(n-1-\sigma)\,\phi_1\,d\phi_2 - (n-1+\sigma)\,\phi_2\,d\phi_1\right]. \tag{3.10}$$

Equation (3.10) provides a relation between the infinitesimal variations of the parameters in the black-hole solutions. The left-hand side is the infinitesimal variation of a quantity with the dimensions of energy, or mass, and it is convenient to *define* that quantity to be the *Thermodynamic Mass* of the black hole. We shall write this as $M_{\rm therm}$, defined by

$$M_{\rm therm} = \frac{\omega_{n-2}}{16\pi}\Big[(n-2)\,\mu + K\Big]\,, \tag{3.11}$$

where μ is minus the coefficient of the $1/r^{n-3}$ term in the large-r expansion of $h(r)$. Thus, in terms of the thermodynamic mass, one has the first law

$$dM_{\rm therm} = TdS + \frac{\sigma\, g^2\,\omega_{n-2}}{32\pi(n-1)}\left[(n-1-\sigma)\,\phi_1\,d\phi_2 - (n-1+\sigma)\,\phi_2\,d\phi_1\right]. \tag{3.12}$$

It should be emphasised that the thermodynamic mass $M_{\rm therm}$ is logically distinct from the strict definition of the "Hamiltonian Mass" whose variation would be given by the expression $\delta M_{\rm Ham} = \delta\mathcal{H}_\infty$ if $\delta\mathcal{H}_\infty$ in (3.9) were integrable, which it is not for the general two-parameter black-hole solutions. The viewpoint proposed in [25] is that rather than taking the non-integrability of $\delta\mathcal{H}_\infty$ to signal the end of any attempt to define a mass and make use of the relation (3.12), it is more useful instead to interpret (3.12) as providing a *definition* of the "thermodynamic mass," which is then given by (3.11). (See also [34, 35].) Thus the thermodynamic mass is an energy function whose variation is the exact (and hence integrable) part of $\delta\mathcal{H}_\infty$. As we shall see shortly, in the case of planar black holes (3.12) may be employed in order to derive a useful relation between the parameters of the solutions.

Large classes of explicit scalar hairy black-hole solutions have been constructed in Einstein-Scalar theories in general dimensions [36–41]. However, all these explicit solutions involve only one, rather than the full complement of two, parameters. It then follows, on dimensional grounds, that in these solutions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are related by $\phi_2^{n-1-\sigma} = c\,\phi_1^{n-1+\sigma}$, where c is a dimensionless constant that is independent of the parameter of the solutions. As a consequence, the differentials involving $d\phi_1$ and $d\phi_2$ in (3.12) cancel, and so the first law does not involve a contribution from the scalar charge in these special solutions. Numerical calculations confirm that two-parameter black-hole solutions do exist [25, 42]. For these solutions the terms involving ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 do contribute non-trivially in the first law (3.12), and in fact their contribution in (3.12) is essential in order for the right-hand side to be an exact form, and hence integrable. Numerical calculations in [25] confirmed that (3.12) is indeed obeyed for the general two-parameter solutions.

Finally we remark that this technique of deriving the first law of thermodynamics have been employed recently for AdS and Lifshitz black holes in a variety of theories involving Proca, Yang-Mills fields and higher-derivative curvature terms [28–31].

3.2 The planar limit of the spherically-symmetric black holes

Having reviewed the essential points presented in [25], we now turn to the consideration of the thermodynamics of the planar black holes we are studying in this paper. The planar black holes can be derived from those with spherically-symmetric spatial sections by means of a limit procedure, in which we write the unit S^{n-2} metric $d\Omega_{n-2}^2$ in (3.1) as

$$d\Omega_{n-2}^2 = \frac{du^2}{1-u^2} + u^2 d\Omega_{n-3}^2\,, \tag{3.13}$$

define $u = k\,\bar{u}$, and then send k to zero. In the small-k limit we have

$$d\Omega_{n-2}^2 \longrightarrow k^2\,(d\bar{u}^2 + \bar{u}^2\,d\Omega_{n-3}^2)\,, \tag{3.14}$$

which can be recognised as k^2 times the Euclidean metric in $(n-2)$ dimensions, written in hyperspherical polar coordinates. One can then make the standard transformation to Cartesian coordinates x^i, so that we have

$$d\Omega_{n-2}^2 \longrightarrow k^2\,dx^i dx^i\,. \tag{3.15}$$

In order to keep the metric (3.1) non-singular in the limit when k goes to zero, we must define new barred radial and time coordinates:

$$r = k^{-1}\,\bar{r}\,, \qquad t = k\,\bar{t}\,, \tag{3.16}$$

and make appropriate scalings of the various expansion coefficients in the near-horizon and asymptotic forms for the metric and the scalar field. In particular, in the simplest situation of the "standard" cases that we are considering first, we shall have

$$r_0 = k^{-1}\,\bar{r}_0\,, \qquad \bar{\mu} = k^{1-n}\,\bar{\mu}\,, \qquad \phi_1 = k^{-(n-1-\sigma)/2}\,\bar{\phi}_1\,, \qquad \phi_2 = k^{-(n-1+\sigma)/2}\,\bar{\phi}_2\,. \tag{3.17}$$

After sending k to zero, certain terms in the asymptotic expansions of the metric functions and the scalar field scale away to zero. These terms include, but are not necessarily restricted to, the "1" terms in (3.5). Dropping the bars after having taken k to zero, the metric functions now have the expansions (2.15), while the asymptotic expansion for the scalar field will still take the same form as in (3.4). The two-parameter family of black-hole solutions with spherical horizons becomes a two-parameter family of planar black-hole solutions. Later, we shall discuss some more complicated situations where additional terms disappear also when taking the planar limit.

3.3 Thermodynamics and Smarr formula for planar black holes

An important new feature of the planar black holes is that they have a scaling symmetry, absent in the spherical case, which means that there exists a generalised Smarr formula relating the thermodynamic mass M to the other thermodynamic quantities. In fact the scaling symmetry is essentially a direct consequence of having derived the planar black-hole solutions from the spherical ones by taking the singular limit described in the previous subsection. To study the generalised Smarr relation, we first apply the planar limiting procedure discussed in the previous subsection to the first law (3.12), in order to obtain

the corresponding first law for the planar black holes in the Einstein-Scalar theory. The quantities M and S will now be viewed as mass and entropy densities, by dividing out by the volume ω_{n-2} prior to taking the limit when k goes to zero. It is then easy to see that the first law (3.12) becomes

$$dM_{\rm therm} = TdS + \frac{\sigma\, g^2}{32\pi(n-1)}\,[(n-1-\sigma)\,\phi_1\, d\phi_2 - (n-1+\sigma)\,\phi_2\, d\phi_1]\,, \tag{3.18}$$

where the thermodynamic mass density is given by

$$M_{\rm therm} = \frac{1}{16\pi}\,[(n-2)\,\mu + K(\phi_1)]\,. \tag{3.19}$$

We shall focus first on the generic cases where there are no $\log r$ terms in the asymptotic expansions of the scalar and metric functions, which means the function $K(\phi_1)$ in the definition (3.19) of the thermodynamic mass is absent. However, it will be useful to define also what we shall call the "gravitational mass," which is simply given by

$$M_{\rm grav} = \frac{(n-2)\,\mu}{16\pi}\,. \tag{3.20}$$

This is the "naive" mass that is simply associated with the coefficient of the $1/r^{n-3}$ term in g_{00}, which is the leading-order term in the asymptotic expansion of a massless spin-2 mode in the AdS background. When there are no $\log r$ terms in the asymptotic expansions, $M_{\rm therm}$ and $M_{\rm grav}$ are the same. In cases where there are $\log r$ terms, it will turn out that it is $M_{\rm grav}$ that appears in the simplest form of the generalised Smarr relation.

Proceeding for now with the generic discussion for the cases where there are no $\log r$ terms, it is easy to see that there exists a scaling symmetry under which the coordinates transform as

$$r = \lambda \hat{r}\,, \qquad x^i = \lambda^{-1}\,\hat{x}^i\,, \qquad t = \lambda^{-1}\,\hat{t}\,, \tag{3.21}$$

with the parameters and thermodynamic quantities correspondingly rescaling as

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \lambda^{n-1}\,\hat{\mu}\,, \qquad \phi_1 = \lambda^{(n-1-\sigma)/2}\,\hat{\phi}_1\,, \qquad \phi_2 = \lambda^{(n-1+\sigma)/2}\,\hat{\phi}_2\,, \\ M_{\rm therm} &= \lambda^{n-1}\,\hat{M}_{\rm therm}\,, \qquad T = \lambda\,\hat{T}\,, \qquad S = \lambda^{n-2}\,\hat{S}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Whenever one has a scaling symmetry of this kind, it is always associated with the existence of a generalised Smarr formula. To derive the formula in this example, it is useful as an intermediate step to define a new energy function, which we shall call E, related to $M_{\rm therm}$ by a Legendre transformation such that on the right-hand side of the first law for dE we have only the differentials dS and $d\phi_1$. Thus we define

$$E = M_{\rm therm} - \frac{\sigma\,(n-1-\sigma)\,g^2}{32\pi(n-1)}\,\phi_1\,\phi_2\,, \tag{3.23}$$

in terms of which the first law (3.18) becomes

$$dE = T dS - \frac{\sigma\, g^2}{16\pi}\, \phi_2\, d\phi_1\,. \tag{3.24}$$

We may then view E as a function only of S and ϕ_1, and under the scaling symmetry we may deduce from $E = E(S, \phi_1)$ that

$$E\big(\lambda^{n-2}\, \hat{S}, \lambda^{(n-1-\sigma)/2}\, \hat{\phi}_1\big) = \lambda^{n-1}\, \hat{E}(\hat{S}, \hat{\phi}_1)\,. \tag{3.25}$$

Acting with the Euler operator $\lambda\, \partial/\partial\lambda$ gives

$$(n-2)\, \lambda^{n-2}\, \hat{S}\, \frac{\partial E}{\partial S} + \tfrac{1}{2}(n-1-\sigma)\, \lambda^{(n-1-\sigma)/2}\, \hat{\phi}_1\, \frac{\partial E}{\partial \phi_1} = (n-1)\, \lambda^{n-1}\, \hat{E}\,. \tag{3.26}$$

Using (3.24), we obtain the generalised Smarr relation[4]

$$E = \frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)}\, TS - \frac{\sigma\,(n-1-\sigma)\, g^2}{32\pi(n-1)}\, \phi_1\, \phi_2\,. \tag{3.27}$$

Written back in terms of the original energy function $M_{\rm therm}$ using (3.23), we find the generalised Smarr relation

$$M_{\rm therm} = \frac{n-2}{n-1}\, TS\,. \tag{3.28}$$

Since $M_{\rm therm}$ and $M_{\rm grav}$ are equal for the cases we have discussed so far, where there are no $\log r$ terms in the asymptotic expansions, we can also write the generalised Smarr relation as

$$M_{\rm grav} = \frac{n-2}{n-1}\, TS\,. \tag{3.29}$$

In fact, this way of writing the Smarr relation is preferable, since, as we shall see in the next subsection, it is this relation, rather than (3.28), that holds in the cases where there are $\log r$ terms in the asymptotic expansions and hence when $M_{\rm therm}$ and $M_{\rm grav}$ are unequal.

It should be emphasised that even though the first law (3.18) for the general two-parameter planar black hole solutions involves the variations of the scalar parameters ϕ_1 and ϕ_2, it has turned out that the generalised Smarr relation (3.29) involves only the product TS, with a zero coefficient for the term involving the product $\phi_1\, \phi_2$ that one might à priori have expected. Of course, the Smarr relation for a Legendre-transformed energy, such as the quantity E we defined in (3.23), *does* then have a $\phi_1\, \phi_2$ term, as seen in eqn (3.27).

[4]Note that the scaling symmetry that is being used here is different from the usual scaling, purely according to the "engineering dimensions" of the parameters, that one uses when deriving the "standard" Smarr relation for asymptotically-flat black holes. Here, with $r \to \lambda\, r$, we are scaling the mass parameter μ so that the $g^2 r^2$ and the $-\mu/r^{n-3}$ terms in the metric function h both scale like λ^2, and hence $\mu \to \lambda^{n-1}\, \mu$. By contrast, in the usual Smarr relations for asymptotically-flat black holes one scales $r \to \lambda\, r$ and $\mu \to \lambda^{n-3}\, \mu$, so that the 1 and the $-\mu/r^{n-3}$ terms in the metric function h are both scale-invariant. This different scaling accounts, in particular, for the different coefficient of the TS term in the generalised Smarr relation we have obtained here, in comparison to the coefficient in the standard Smarr relation.

3.4 $\log r$ terms and anomalous scaling

In the previous section, we gave a rather general analysis of the derivation of the generalised Smarr relation (3.29) obeyed by the gravitational mass $M_{\rm grav} = (n-2)\mu/(16\pi)$ in the case of planar black holes. In certain cases, depending upon the value of the parameter sigma defined in (3.3), and upon specific features in the scalar potential, $\log r$ terms can arise in the large-r asymptotic expansions of the metric functions and the scalar field. This leads to modifications in the scaling argument that we used previously in deriving the generalised Smarr relation.

A nice illustrative example is provided by the case of $\sigma = 1$ in $n = 4$ dimensions. From (3.3), it can be seen that this corresponds to $m^2 = -2g^2$, which is precisely the value of m^2 that arises for the scalar fields in gauged four-dimensional supergravity in the maximally symmetric $\mathcal{N} = 8$ AdS_4 background. The asymptotic expansions of the scalar and metric functions were presented for this example for spherical black holes in [25]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi &= \frac{\phi_1}{r} + \frac{\phi_2}{r^2} - \frac{3\gamma_3\,\phi_1^2 \log r}{g^2 r^2} + \cdots\,,\\
h &= g^2 r^2 + 1 - \frac{\mu}{r} + \cdots\,,\\
f &= g^2 r^2 + 1 + \tfrac{1}{4} g^2 \phi_1^2 + \frac{f_1}{r} - \frac{2\gamma_3\,\phi_1^3\,\log r}{r} + \cdots\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

where $f_1 = -\mu + \frac{1}{3}\gamma_3\,\phi_1^3 + \frac{2}{3} g^2\,\phi_1\,\phi_2$. Note that here γ_3 is the coefficient of the cubic term in the Taylor expansion (3.2) of the scalar potential. In ordinary $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity γ_3 vanishes, and in that case no $\log r$ terms are present in the asymptotic expansions. But more generally, we may consider theories where $\gamma_3 \neq 0$. In fact this situation can arise in the recently-discovered ω-deformed $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravities [32, 33].

Because of the presence of the $\log r$ terms, we must modify the scaling transformations given in (3.16) and (3.17) before taking the $k \to 0$ limit to get the planar black hole solution. Specifically, the coordinate scalings in (3.16) are unchanged, but the transformation for ϕ_2 is modified, so that (3.17) becomes, for this $n = 4$, $\sigma = 1$ case,

$$r_0 = k^{-1}\,\bar{r}_0\,, \qquad \bar{\mu} = k^{-3}\,\bar{\mu}\,, \qquad \phi_1 = k^{-1}\,\bar{\phi}_1\,, \qquad \phi_2 = k^{-2}\,\bar{\phi}_2 - 3\gamma_3\,\bar{\phi}_1^2\,k^{-2}\,\log k\,. \tag{3.31}$$

The net effect, after sending k to zero, and up to the orders displayed in (3.30), is that the "1" terms in the metric functions disappear, and so for the $n = 4$, $\sigma = 1$ planar black holes

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi &= \frac{\phi_1}{r} + \frac{\phi_2}{r^2} - \frac{3\gamma_3\,\phi_1^2\log r}{g^2 r^2} + \cdots\,,\\
h &= g^2 r^2 - \frac{\mu}{r} + \cdots\,,\\
f &= g^2 r^2 + \tfrac{1}{4} g^2 \phi_1^2 + \frac{f_1}{r} - \frac{2\gamma_3\,\phi_1^3\,\log r}{r} + \cdots\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

After taking the $k \to 0$ limit the first law, calculated in [25], takes the form

$$dM_{\rm therm} = TdS + \frac{g^2}{48\pi}\,(\phi_1\,d\phi_2 - 2\phi_2\,d\phi_1)\,, \tag{3.33}$$

with

$$M_{\rm therm} = \frac{1}{16\pi}\,(2\mu + K(\phi_1))\,, \qquad K(\phi_1) = \tfrac{1}{3}\gamma_3\,\phi_1^3\,. \tag{3.34}$$

The presence of the $K(\phi_1)$ term here is associated with the occurrence of the $\log r$ terms in the asymptotic expansions of the scalar and metric functions.

Turning now to the derivation of the generalised Smarr relation for this example, the previous expressions for the rescalings given in (3.21 and (3.22) also require modification because of $\log r$ terms. The coordinate rescalings themselves are unchanged, but now we find that in order for $\phi(r)$ to be invariant, and for $h(r)$ and $f(r)$ to scale with overall λ^2 factors as they did before, we must now have, in this $n = 4$ and $\sigma = 1$ case, that

$$\mu = \lambda^3\,\hat\mu\,, \qquad \phi_1 = \lambda\,\hat\phi_1\,, \qquad \phi_2 = \lambda^2\,\hat\phi_2 + 3\gamma_3\,g^{-2}\,\hat\phi_1^2\,\lambda^2\,\log\lambda\,. \tag{3.35}$$

Note that we still have $M_{\rm therm} = \lambda^3\,\hat M_{\rm therm}$. Defining the Legendre-transformed energy function E as before using (3.23), we have

$$E = M - \frac{g^2}{48\pi}\,\phi_1\,\phi_2\,, \tag{3.36}$$

which therefore obeys the first law

$$dE = TdS - \frac{g^2}{16\pi}\,\phi_2\,d\phi_1\,, \tag{3.37}$$

and so E can again be treated as a function of S and ϕ_1. It is important to note that although $M_{\rm therm}$ scales in the standard way, $M_{\rm therm} = \lambda^3\,\hat M_{\rm therm}$, it follows from (3.35) and (3.36) that E obeys the anomalous scaling transformation

$$E = \lambda^3\,\hat E - \frac{\gamma_3}{16\pi}\,\lambda^3\,\hat\phi_1^3\,\lambda^3\,\log\lambda\,. \tag{3.38}$$

This leads to a modification in the standard scaling relation (3.25), leading, in this $n = 4$, $\sigma = 1$ case, to

$$E(\lambda^2\,\hat S, \lambda\,\hat\phi_1) = \lambda^3\,\hat E - \frac{\gamma_3}{16\pi}\,\lambda^3\,\hat\phi_1^3\,\lambda^3\,\log\lambda\,. \tag{3.39}$$

Acting with the Euler operator $\lambda\,\partial/\partial\lambda$ and using the first law (3.37), we conclude that E obeys

$$E = \tfrac{2}{3}TS - \frac{g^2}{48\pi}\,\phi_1\,\phi_2 + \frac{\gamma_3}{48\pi}\,\phi_1^3\,. \tag{3.40}$$

Returning now to the thermodynamic mass $M_{\rm therm}$, related to E by (3.36), we therefore obtain the generalised Smarr relation

$$M_{\rm therm} = \tfrac{2}{3}TS + \frac{\gamma_3}{48\pi}\,\phi_1^3\,. \tag{3.41}$$

Finally, we see from definitions (3.20) and (3.34) that $M_{\rm grav}$ obeys the very simple generalised Smarr relation

$$M_{\rm grav} = \tfrac{2}{3}TS\,. \tag{3.42}$$

Thus, despite the occurrence of the unusual new feature of $\log r$ terms in the asymptotic expansions, and the associated anomalous scaling law for ϕ_2 as seen in (3.35), we find that in the end the "gravitational mass" $M_{\rm grav} = \mu/(8\pi)$ continues to satisfy the same generalised Smarr relation (3.29) (specialised to $n = 4$) as in the standard cases with no anomalous scaling.

The phenomenon we have illustrated above for the special case of $n = 4$ and $\sigma = 1$ is representative of what happens in all cases where planar black holes solutions in the Einstein-Scalar theory have $\log r$ terms in the asymptotic expansions for the scalar and metric functions. The conclusion in all cases is that the generalised Smarr relation (3.29) holds, with the gravitational mass defined in (3.20) appearing on the left-hand side. A few further examples, for black holes with spherical spatial sections, can be found in [25]. By applying the appropriate scalings, analogous to (3.16) and (3.31), one can obtain the corresponding planar black holes solutions in the limit when k goes to zero. The derivation of the generalised Smarr relation then goes in a manner that is very analogous to our derivation above, leading in general to the conclusion that $M_{\rm grav}$ is related to T and S by (3.29). A further point worth noting is that in some cases, the effect of taking the $k \to 0$ planar limit can be to remove more than just the "1" terms in the asymptotic expansions of the metric functions h and f. In particular, one finds that in the metric function h, whenever the spherical black hole solutions have terms with r dependence lying between the leading-order g^2r^2 term and the mass term $-\mu/r^{n-3}$, then these terms scale away to zero when k goes to zero. Thus, for the planar black holes one always finds that the asymptotic expansions of the functions h and f are of the form given in (2.15), with no intermediate powers between g^2r^2 and $-\mu/r^{n-3}$ in h, even if there are such intermediate powers in the

corresponding spherical black-hole solutions. We give a proof of this statement in section 7.

3.5 Imaginary $\sigma = \mathrm{i}\,\tilde{\sigma}$

We have established at this point that for all real values of the constant σ, defined in terms of the mass m of the scalar field by (3.3), there is a generalised Smarr formula given by (3.29), relating the "gravitational mass" $M_{\rm grav}$ defined in (3.20) to the product of the entropy and temperature of the black hole. By a further extension of these arguments, we can include also the case where $m^2 < -\frac{1}{4}g^2\,(n-1)^2$, corresponding to a scalar field whose mass-squared is more negative than the Breitenlöhner-Freedman bound. As formal solutions of the equations, Einstein-Scalar black holes exist also in this regime where σ is imaginary. They are discussed in [25] for the case of spherically-symmetric black holes.

Before taking the scaling limit to obtain the planar black holes, it is helpful to rewrite the spherically-symmetric black holes with $\sigma = \mathrm{i}\,\tilde{\sigma}$ that are discussed in [25] in terms of a reparameterisation of the two scalar field coefficients ϕ_1 and ϕ_2, by writing

$$\phi_1 = \Phi\,\sin\chi\,, \qquad \phi_2 = \Phi\,\cos\chi\,. \tag{3.43}$$

The asymptotic expansions presented in [25] then become

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \frac{\Phi\,\sin(\chi + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}\,\log r)}{r^{(n-1)/2}} + \cdots\,, \qquad h = g^2r^2 + 1 - \frac{\mu}{r^{n-3}} + \cdots\,, \\ f &= g^2r^2 + 1 + \frac{1}{r^{n-3}}\Big[-\mu \\ &\quad + \frac{g^2\,\Phi^2\,\big(2(n-1)^2\,\sin^2(\chi + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}\,\log r) + \tilde{\sigma}^2 - (n-1)\,\tilde{\sigma}\,\sin(2\chi + \tilde{\sigma}\,\log r)\big)}{8(n-1)(n-2)}\Big] + \cdots\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

The first law obtained in [25] becomes

$$dM_{\rm therm} = TdS + \frac{g^2\,\tilde{\sigma}\,\omega_{n-2}}{16\pi}\,\Phi^2\,d\chi\,, \tag{3.45}$$

with

$$M_{\rm therm} = \frac{\omega_{n-2}}{16\pi}\,\Big[(n-2)\,\mu + K\Big]\,, \qquad K = -\frac{g^2\,\tilde{\sigma}^2}{8(n-1)}\,\Phi^2\,. \tag{3.46}$$

Applying the scalings (3.16), together with

$$\mu = k^{1-n}\,\bar{\mu}\,, \qquad \Phi = k^{-(n-1)/2}\,\bar{\Phi}\,, \qquad \chi = \bar{\chi} + \tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}\,\log k\,, \tag{3.47}$$

we obtain the planar limit of these black holes. The effect in the expansions displayed in (3.44) is to remove the "1" terms in the expressions for h and f. The first law and the

expression for $M_{\rm therm}$ are unchanged, except that we now, as usual, omit the factors ω_{n-2}. The scaling symmetry of the planar black holes is given by

$$r = \lambda\,\hat{r}\,, \qquad \mu = \lambda^{n-1}\,\hat{\mu}\,, \qquad \Phi = \lambda^{(n-1)/2}\,\hat{\Phi}\,, \qquad \chi = \hat{\chi} - \tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}\,\log\lambda\,, \tag{3.48}$$

with $S = \lambda^{n-2}\,\hat{S}$ and $T = \lambda\,T$ as usual, and so applying the Euler operator $\lambda\,\partial/\partial\lambda$ to

$$M_{\rm therm}(\lambda^{n-2}\,\hat{S}, \hat{\chi} - \tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}\,\log\lambda) = \lambda^{n-1}\,\hat{M}_{\rm therm}(\hat{S}, \hat{\chi}) \tag{3.49}$$

and using the first law, we obtain

$$M_{\rm therm} = \frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)}\,TS - \frac{g^2\,\tilde{\sigma}^2}{126(n-1)\,\pi}\,\Phi^2\,. \tag{3.50}$$

It then follows from (3.20) and (3.46) that the gravitational mass $M_{\rm grav}$ again satisfies the simple generalised Smarr relation (3.29).

This completes our derivation of the generalised Smarr relation (3.29) for the general two-parameter planar black hole solutions in the Einstein-Scalar theories described by the Lagrangian (2.1). It was not necessary, for the purpose of this derivation, to know the explicit form of these solutions, nor even to know the detailed form of the scalar potential. It is rather remarkable that nonetheless, we are able to obtain an exact expression for the coefficient μ of the "mass term" $-\mu/r^{n-3}$ in the large-r expansion of the metric function $h(r)$, purely in terms of T and S, which are quantities defined on the horizon of the black hole. As we shall discuss in the next section, this allows us to calculate the exact viscosity to entropy ratio for all the Einstein-Scalar black holes, even though it is only possible to construct the actual black-hole solutions numerically.

4 Viscosity Ratio for the Einstein-Scalar Planar Black Holes

We are now in a position to give an explicit evaluation of the ratio η/S for the general two-parameter family of Einstein-Scalar black holes. From the expression (2.29) for the viscosity, we see that

$$\frac{\eta}{S} = \frac{(n-1)\,\mu}{64\pi^2\,TS}\,. \tag{4.1}$$

From the definition (3.19) of the gravitational mass, we then find

$$\frac{\eta}{S} = \frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)}\,\frac{M_{\rm grav}}{TS}\,\frac{1}{4\pi}\,. \tag{4.2}$$

Finally, it follows from the generalised Smarr relation (3.29) that

$$\frac{\eta}{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \tag{4.3}$$

for the entire two-parameter family of planar black holes in the Einstein-Scalar theory described by (2.1).

The intriguing conclusion from this discussion is that for the entire two-parameter family of planar black hole solutions in Einstein-Scalar gravity, the universality of the $1/(4\pi)$ viscosity to entropy ratio can be seen to be due to the universal validity of the generalised Smarr relation (3.29). Furthermore, it was not necessary to be able to construct the solutions explicitly (and indeed, the general solution can *only* be obtained numerically), and the universal result holds regardless of the detailed form of the scalar potential.

Large classes of explicit scalar hairy planar black-hole solutions have been constructed in Einstein-Scalar theories in general dimensions [38–40], and it can easily be verified that they indeed all satisfy the generalised Smarr relation. However, since all these explicit solutions involve only one, rather than the general two, parameters, it follows from the earlier discussion in the end of section 3.1 that the first law does not involve a contribution from the scalar charges. The fact that the generalised Smarr relation holds, and the consequent saturation of the viscosity bound, is then rather straightforward and not especially remarkable in the case of these special solutions. Indeed an explicit demonstration of $\eta/S = 1/(4\pi)$ for such a one-parameter family of scalar black holes was performed in [43]. Numerical black-hole solutions with the complete complement of two independent parameters have been constructed in [25, 42]. We have confirmed for these solutions, and demonstrated numerically, that the generalised Smarr formula is indeed obeyed for these general solutions. Since we shall in any case present an alternative proof of the generalised Smarr relation, in section 7, we shall not present the numerical calculations here.

5 Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton Theory

5.1 General result

We now consider the Einstein-Scalar theory coupled to a Maxwell field described by the 1-form potential A. A general class of Lagrangians is given by

$$e^{-1}\mathcal{L} = R - \tfrac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \tfrac{1}{4}Z(\phi)F^2 - V(\phi)\,, \tag{5.1}$$

where $F = dA$. In supergravities, Z is typically an exponential function of ϕ, but here we shall allow Z to be an arbitrary function of ϕ. Exact solutions of charged black holes with certain more general Z are constructed in [44]. We shall assume for convenience that, as in the Einstein-Scalar case, the relevant stationary point of the scalar potential is at $\phi = 0$, so

that ϕ will asymptotically approach zero at $r = \infty$. As in the pure Einstein-Scalar case we discussed previously, we shall consider planar black hole solutions, taking the form

$$ds_5 = -h(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2\, dx^i dx^i\,, \qquad A = a(r)\, dt\,, \qquad \phi = \phi(r)\,. \tag{5.2}$$

The Maxwell equation implies that

$$a' = \frac{q}{Z\, r^{n-1}}\sqrt{\frac{h}{f}}\,, \tag{5.3}$$

where the q is the electric charge (density) parameter. The conserved electric charge density is given by

$$Q_e = \frac{1}{16\pi\omega_{n-2}}\int_{r\to\infty} Z{*}F = \frac{q}{16\pi}\,. \tag{5.4}$$

As in the Einstein-Scalar case, we consider a transverse-traceless metric perturbation in the $(n-2)$-dimensional space of the spatial planar section, by making the replacement (2.9). At linearised order, the perturbation can again be solved straightforwardly. Taking $\Psi(t,r) = e^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega t}\,\psi(r)$, we find

$$\psi(r) = \exp\Big[-\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\omega}{\sqrt{h_1 f_1}}\log\frac{h}{g^2 r^2}\Big](1 - \mathrm{i}\,\omega U + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2))\,, \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$U = c_0 - \frac{q}{\sqrt{h_1 f_1}}\int \frac{a - c_1}{r^{n-2}\sqrt{hf}}\,, \tag{5.6}$$

and f_1 and h_1 are the coefficients of the leading-order terms in the near-horizon expansions (2.12). Making a gauge choice so that $a(r)$ vanishes on the horizon, we must then require that the two integration constants c_1 and c_0 both vanish, so that U is non-singular on the horizon and that Ψ equals 1 at $r = \infty$.

We shall consider black holes that are asymptotic to AdS_n, with

$$h \sim g^2 r^2 - \frac{\mu}{r^{n-3}} + \cdots\,, \tag{5.7}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi &= 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\,\omega}{g^2 r^{n-1}}\,\frac{\mu - \frac{1}{n-1}\Phi_e q}{4\pi T} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2)\\ &= 1 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\,\omega}{g^2 r^{n-1}}\frac{4}{T}\Big(\frac{M_{\rm grav}}{n-2} - \frac{\Phi_e Q_e}{n-1}\Big) + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where $M_{\rm grav}$ is defined in (3.20) and $\Phi_e = -a(\infty)$ is the electric potential at infinity. The relevant part of the surface term of the action for the linear mode Ψ is given by (2.27) and hence the viscosity/entropy ratio is given by

$$\frac{\eta}{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi\, TS}\Big(\frac{n-1}{n-2}M_{\rm grav} - \Phi_e Q_e\Big)\,. \tag{5.9}$$

The general planar black-hole solution in $n \geq 5$ dimensions involves three independent parameters, namely the mass, the scalar charge and the electric charge. The first law of thermodynamics is given by

$$dM_{\rm therm} = TdS + \Phi_e dQ_e + \frac{\sigma\, g^2}{32\pi(n-1)}\left[(n-1-\sigma)\,\phi_1\, d\phi_2 - (n-1+\sigma)\,\phi_2\, d\phi_1\right], \quad (5.10)$$

where the scalar contribution and the relation between $M_{\rm therm}$ and $M_{\rm grav}$ was extensively discussed in the previous sections. Since the scaling behavior of (Φ_e, Q_e) is the same as that of (T, S), it follows from a straightforward extension of the earlier discussion that the generalised Smarr formula will given by

$$M_{\rm grav} = \frac{n-2}{n-1}\,(TS + \Phi_e Q_e)\,, \quad (5.11)$$

Thus the viscosity/entropy ratio is again given by (4.3).

In four dimensions, the Maxwell field A can carry both electric and magnetic charges, with the gauge potential given by

$$A = \frac{q}{Z\,r}\sqrt{\frac{h}{f}}\,dt + px_1 dx_2\,. \quad (5.12)$$

Note that there is no continuous electric/magnetic duality symmetry that would allow one to rotate the system into a purely electric or purely magnetic complexion, except in the special case when $Z(\phi)$ is just a constant. Thus the electric and magnetic charge parameters are genuinely independent parameters in the solutions, except in the $Z =$ constant special case. The electric and magnetic charge densities are now

$$Q_e = \frac{q}{16\pi}\,, \qquad Q_m = \frac{p}{16\pi}\,. \quad (5.13)$$

The derivations of the first law and the generalised Smarr relation proceed in close analogy to the previous case we discussed, and the upshot is that the four-parameter planar black holes obey the relation

$$M_{\rm grav} = \frac{n-2}{n-1}\,(TS + \Phi_e Q_e + \Phi_m\, Q_m)\,, \quad (5.14)$$

For the linearised transverse and traceless mode $\Psi(r,t) = e^{-{\rm i}\omega t}\,\psi(r)$, we find that up to linear order in ω,

$$\psi = \exp\Big[-\frac{{\rm i}\omega}{\sqrt{h_1 f_1}}\log\frac{h}{g^2 r^2}\Big]\,(1 - {\rm i}\omega U)\,, \quad (5.15)$$

where

$$U = c_0 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_1 f_1}}\int dr \frac{W}{r^2\sqrt{hf}}\,, \qquad W' = \frac{1}{r^2}\Big(\frac{q^2}{Z} + p^2 Z\Big)\sqrt{\frac{h}{f}}\,. \quad (5.16)$$

Note that the q^2 term above is given by qa', and the corresponding term becomes $\Phi_e q$. Owing to the electric and magnetic duality, the remaining term in W gives $\Phi_m p$. Following the same calculational steps as before, we now find

$$\frac{\eta}{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi\, TS}\Big(\frac{3}{2}M_{\rm grav} - \Phi_e Q_e - \Phi_m Q_m\Big)\,. \tag{5.17}$$

The generalized Smarr formula (5.14) then implies that η/S is again given by (4.3).

5.2 Dyonic Kaluza-Klein AdS black hole

An explicit four-dimensional dyonic black hole solution was found in [45]. The solution has three non-trivial parameters, which may be viewed as the mass, the electric and the magnetic charges. Although it does not contain the full complement of four independent parameters of the general solutions (which can only be obtained numerically), it has sufficiently many parameters that the role of the "charge" for the scalar field can be exhibited in a non-trivial way.

The four-dimensional Lagrangian for this example is a consistent truncation of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity (in fact, a consistent truncation of the gauged STU model, where only one of the four $U(1)$ gauge fields is retained, and the axion in then consistently truncated also). The Lagrangian is given by (5.1) with

$$Z = e^{-\sqrt{3}\phi}\,, \qquad V = -6g^2\cosh(\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\phi)\,. \tag{5.18}$$

Both the spherically-symmetric and planar asymptotic-AdS dyonic black holes were constructed in [45]. For our present purposes, we shall consider just the planar black hole, which is given by [45]

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= -(H_1H_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f dt^2 + (H_1H_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\frac{d\rho^2}{f} + \rho^2(dx^2+dy^2)\Big)\,,\\
\phi &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\log\frac{H_2}{H_1}\,, \qquad f = -\frac{\mu}{\rho} + g^2\rho^2 H_1 H_2\,,\\
A &= \sqrt{\tfrac{1}{2}\mu}\Big(\frac{(\rho+2\beta_1)}{\sqrt{\beta_1}\,H_1\,\rho}\,dt + 2\sqrt{\beta_2}\,x dy\Big)\,,\\
H_1 &= 1 + \frac{4\beta_1}{\rho} + \frac{4\beta_1\beta_2}{\rho^2}\,, \qquad H_2 = 1 + \frac{4\beta_2}{\rho} + \frac{4\beta_1\beta_2}{\rho^2}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

(We have rescaled μ by a factor of 2 relative to the solution presented in [45], to fit with our conventions in the rest of this paper.) Note that the radial coordinate being used here, which we call ρ to distinguish it from r that we are using in the rest of this paper, is related to r by $r = \rho\,(H_1\,H_2)^{1/4}$.

The parameter μ can be expressed in terms of the horizon radius $\rho = \rho_0$, namely

$$\mu = g^2 \rho_0^3 H_1(\rho_0) H_2(\rho_0)\,, \tag{5.20}$$

Here we are, for convenience, assuming that the $\mathbb{R}^2$ coordinates (x, y) have been identified to give a 2-torus of volume 4π. One can take any other choice for the volume, with the understanding that the extensive quantities should be scaled by the relative volume factor. We find that the remaining thermodynamic quantities are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \frac{\mu}{8\pi}\,, \qquad Q_e = \frac{1}{8\pi}\sqrt{\mu\beta_1}\,, \qquad Q_m = \frac{1}{8\pi}\sqrt{\mu\beta_2}\,,\\ \Phi_e &= \frac{2\sqrt{\mu\beta_1}(r_0 + 2\beta_2)}{r_0^2 H_1(r_0)}\,, \qquad \Phi_m = \frac{2\sqrt{\mu\beta_2}(r_0 + 2\beta_1)}{r_0^2 H_2(r_0)}\,,\\ \phi_1 &= 2\sqrt{3}(\beta_2 - \beta_1)\,, \qquad \phi_2 = 2\sqrt{3}(\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

Note that ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 here are, as in the rest of this paper, the leading coefficients of the large-distance expansion for ϕ, using the r coordinate. Thus $\phi = \phi_1/r + \phi_2/r^2 + \cdots$ here. It is now straightforward to verify that

$$dM = T dS + \Phi_e dQ_e + \Phi_m dQ_m + \frac{g^2}{48\pi}(\phi_1 d\phi_2 - 2\phi_2 d\phi_1)\,. \tag{5.22}$$

It is worth noting that

$$\phi_1\, d\phi_2 - 2\phi_2\, d\phi_1 = 24(\beta_1 - \beta_2)\,(\beta_2\, d\beta_1 - \beta_1\, d\beta_2)\,, \tag{5.23}$$

and so unlike in some simple solutions that have been discussed elsewhere in the literature, here the scalar terms $\phi_1\, d\phi_2 - 2\phi_2\, d\phi_1$ play an essential role in the relation (5.22) between the infinitesimal variations of the black-hole parameters. (The right-hand side of (5.22) would not be an exact form if these terms were omitted.) It can easily be seen that the quantities given above obey the generalized Smarr formula (5.14).

6 Non-Minimally Coupled Scalar

In this section, we consider Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton theories in which the dilaton couples non-minimally to gravity, with a Lagrangian given by

$$e^{-1}\mathcal{L} = \kappa(\phi) R - \tfrac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \tfrac{1}{4} Z(\phi) F^2 - V(\phi)\,, \tag{6.1}$$

for which the equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(\phi)\, G_{\mu\nu} &= \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\, \kappa(\phi) - \Box\kappa(\phi)\, g_{\mu\nu} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Big(\partial_\mu\phi\,\partial_\nu\phi - \tfrac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2\, g_{\mu\nu}\Big) + \tfrac{1}{2} V(\phi)\, g_{\mu\nu}\\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{2} Z(\phi)\Big(F_{\mu\rho}\, F_\nu{}^\rho - \tfrac{1}{4} F^2\, g_{\mu\nu}\Big)\,,\\ \Box\phi &= \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial\phi} - \frac{\partial\kappa(\phi)}{\partial\phi}\, R + \tfrac{1}{4}\frac{\partial Z(\phi)}{\partial\phi}\, F^2\,, \qquad \nabla_\mu\Big(Z(\phi)\, F^{\mu\nu}\Big) = 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

where $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R\, g_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor. We shall assume that both the functions $\kappa(\phi)$ and $Z(\phi)$ become unity when $\phi = 0$, which is a stationary point of the potential $V(\phi)$.

We consider black holes of the type (5.2), with an horizon located at $r = r_0$. We may easily verify that the linearised transverse and traceless perturbative mode is again given by (5.5), but with the function U now given by

$$U = \frac{q}{\sqrt{h_1 f_1}} \int \frac{a}{r^{n-2} \kappa(\phi) \sqrt{hf}}\,, \tag{6.3}$$

where a is chosen to be in the gauge for which it vanishes on the horizon. Since now the relevant surface term in the quadratic action of the linearised mode is

$$-\tfrac{1}{2}\, r^{n-2}\, \kappa(\phi) \sqrt{fh}\, \Psi \Psi' \Big|_{r=\infty}\,, \tag{6.4}$$

rather than the previous expression (2.27), it follows that the viscosity/entropy ratio will be the same as that given in (5.9), where now the entropy is given by $\kappa(\phi)|_{r=r_0}$ multiplying the area of the horizon. Thus we see that again $\eta/S = 1/(4\pi)$, as a consequence of the generalised Smarr formula.

Many examples of exact black-hole solutions in such theories have been found in the literature [40, 46–49] and it can easily be verified that all of them indeed satisfy the generalised Smarr formula. Of course, these exact solutions all have fewer than the maximal number of independent parameters, and the first law of thermodynamics therefore does not involve the scalar charges. The working of the generalised Smarr formula, and hence the saturation of the viscosity bound, is therefore less striking in these explicit special cases.

7 Noether Charge, Generalised Smarr formula and Viscosity Bound

In the previous sections, we established the link between the saturation of the viscosity bound and the generalised Smarr relation in the general Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton theory, both with a minimal and with a non-minimal scalar coupling to gravity. Owing to the existence of the extra scalar "charges" in asymptotically-AdS spacetimes, one might have expected the generalised Smarr formula to include a contribution from these, but as we saw in detail this does not occur. We derived this result by making use of scaling arguments and the first law of black hole (thermo)dynamics, derived from the Wald formalism. Although instructive as a demonstration of the interplay between scaling and the first law, the derivation was somewhat indirect. In this section, we obtain further insights by pre-

senting a different derivation of the generalised Smarr relation, based on the construction of a Noether charge associated with the relevant scaling symmetry.

7.1 Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton theory

We start with the most general theory (6.1) we have considered in this paper, which encompasses all the previous cases. We rewrite the black hole ansatz as

$$ds^2 = -u\,dt^2 + d\rho^2 + v\,dx^i dx^i\,, \qquad A = a\,dt\,, \tag{7.1}$$

where u, v, a and the scalar ϕ are all functions only of the coordinate ρ. Since this is the most general ansatz that respects the isometries, we can safely substitute the ansatz into the Lagrangian, finding

$$\mathcal{L} = u\,v^{n-2}\Big[\kappa\big(-2\frac{\ddot{u}}{u} - 2(n-2)\frac{\ddot{v}}{v} - (n-2)(n-3)\frac{\dot{v}^2}{v^2} - 2(n-2)\frac{\dot{u}\dot{v}}{uv}\big) - \tfrac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - \tfrac{1}{2}Z\frac{\dot{a}^2}{u^2} - V\Big]\,, \tag{7.2}$$

where a dot denotes a derivative with respect to ρ. The Lagrangian is invariant under the global scaling

$$u \to \lambda^{-(n-2)}\,u\,, \qquad v \to \lambda\,v\,, \qquad a \to \lambda^{-(n-2)}\,a\,. \tag{7.3}$$

If we now allow λ to be ρ dependent, then by integrating by parts and collecting the coefficient of $\dot{\lambda}$, we can derive the conserved Noether charge

$$2\kappa(v\dot{u} - u\dot{v})v^{n-3} - Zau^{-1}v^{n-2}\,\dot{a} = c = \text{ const.} \tag{7.4}$$

Re-writing this equation using the r coordinate defined in (5.2), and substituting also (5.3), we find

$$\kappa\sqrt{hf}\,\Big(\frac{h'}{h} - \frac{2}{r}\Big)\,r^{n-2} + q\,a = c\,. \tag{7.5}$$

Note that for $q = 0$ and $\kappa(\phi) = 1$, this is just the first integral of the second-order differential equation (2.6).

Assuming that the solution is asymptotic to AdS, for which it is necessary (but not sufficient) that f and h have the asymptotic forms $h = g^2r^2 + \cdots$ and $f = g^2r^2 + \cdots$ as $r \to \infty$, and assuming also that $\kappa \to 1$ asymptotically, we can then conclude from (7.5) that

$$h = g^2r^2 - \frac{\mu}{r^{n-3}} + \cdots\,, \tag{7.6}$$

with no slower-falling intervening terms between the g^2r^2 and the $-\mu/r^{n-3}$ terms.[5] Corre-

[5]We emphasise that this absence of intervening terms in the asymptotic form of h is deduced using the first-order equation (7.5), which is valid for planar black holes but not spherically-symmetric black holes. Indeed, in our discussion in section 3.4 we discussed cases where the spherically-symmetric black-hole solutions presented in [25] had such intervening terms in the asymptotic expansion of h, but these all scaled away when the planar limit was taken.

spondingly, the constant c in (7.5) is simply given by $c = (n-1)\mu$. Applying the identity (7.5) instead on the horizon, we therefore find

$$(n-1)\mu = 16\pi(TS + \Phi_e Q_e)\,. \tag{7.7}$$

It then follows from (3.20) that generalized Small formula (5.11) holds (or, with a straightforward extension of the above argument in $n = 4$ dimensions, (5.14)), and hence the viscosity bound $\eta/S \geq 1/(4\pi)$ is saturated. Note that in this calculation, we have chosen the gauge where a vanishes at infinity. Alternatively, we could choose the gauge where a vanishes instead on the horizon. In this case, the constant c becomes $(n-1)\mu - 16\pi\Phi_e Q_e$, when evaluated at asymptotic infinity, and $16\pi TS$ when evaluated on the horizon. The generalized Smarr relation (7.7) continues to hold.

7.2 Gauss-Bonnet gravity

We now examine the relation between the generalised Smarr formula and the viscosity/entropy ratio for an example of a theory with higher-derivative terms, namely Einstein-Maxwell theory with a cosmological constant and an added Gauss-Bonnet term. We take the Lagrangian in general dimensions to be given by

$$e^{-1}\mathcal{L}_n = R - \alpha(R^2 - 4R^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu} + R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}) - \tfrac{1}{4}F^2 + (n-1)(n-2)g^2\,. \tag{7.8}$$

The exact solution for the planar black hole in Gauss-Bonnet gravity was constructed in [50]. In fact, however, in the following discussion it will not be necessary to know the explicit form of the solution. Following the same procedure as in the previous subsection, we obtain the Noether charge

$$\sqrt{hf}\left(\frac{h'}{h} - \frac{2}{r}\right)\Big(r^2 - 2(n-3)(n-4)\alpha f\Big)\, r^{n-4} + q\,a = c\,. \tag{7.9}$$

In dimensions $n \geq 5$ the Gauss-Bonnet term modifies the effective cosmological constant in asymptotically-AdS solutions, such that h and f will have the leading-order asymptotic forms $h = \tilde{g}^2 r^2 + \cdots$, $f = \tilde{r}^2 + \cdots$, where g and $\tilde{g}$ are related by

$$g^2 = \tilde{g}^2\,[1 - (n-3)(n-4)\,\alpha\,\tilde{g}^2]\,. \tag{7.10}$$

By evaluating (7.9) asymptotically and on the horizon, in the same manner is in the previous subsection, it is then straightforward to see that $c = (n-1)\mu\,[1 - 2\alpha(n-3)(n-4)\,\tilde{g}^2]$ and hence we obtain a modification to the previous generalised Smarr formula, with

$$(n-1)\,[1 - 2\alpha(n-3)(n-4)\,\tilde{g}^2]\,\mu = 16\pi(TS + \Phi_e Q_e)\,. \tag{7.11}$$

Since the mass is given by

$$M = \frac{1}{16\pi}\,(n-2)\,[1-2\alpha\,(n-3)(n-4)\,\tilde{g}^2]\,\mu\,, \tag{7.12}$$

the usual generalised Smarr relation (5.11) continues to hold. However, as shown in [17] for a neutral planar black hole in $n = 5$ dimensions, the viscosity/entropy ratio, after re-expressing in our notation, is given by

$$\frac{\eta}{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\,(1-4\alpha\,\tilde{g}^2)^2\,, \tag{7.13}$$

and so the correlation between the Smarr relation and the viscosity bound no longer holds in this higher-derivative example.

8 Conclusions

Motivated by an interest in trying to understand the rather widespread universality of the ratio $\eta/S = 1/(4\pi)$ in boundary field theories dual to bulk two-derivative theories involving gravity, we have investigated the relation to a generalisation of the Smarr formula of classical general relativity. The generalised Smarr formula in question arises as a consequence of a scaling symmetry that is a specific feature of planar black holes, and which is absent in the case of black holes with spherical symmetry.

One way in which the generalised Smarr relation can be derived is via thermodynamic considerations. Starting from the first law of thermodynamics for a class of black-hole solutions, and given a scaling symmetry of the system of solutions, one can essentially integrate up the first law to obtain an algebraic expression for the black hole mass as a sum of products of the thermodynamic quantities characterising the solutions times their thermodynamic conjugate variables. Our principal focus in this paper has been to study the resulting generalised Smarr formulae in cases that have not been extensively studied previously, in which a scalar field plays an essential role and in fact leads to the enlargement of the parameter-space of black hole solutions. In the simplest example, of black holes in Einstein gravity minimally coupled to a scalar field with an appropriate potential, the symmetrical black holes we consider depend not merely on a single mass parameter (as in Schwarzschild-AdS) but on two parameters, which can be thought of as the mass and a scalar "charge" in addition.

We have shown in this paper that although the scalar charge and its thermodynamic conjugate variable enter non-trivially in the first law (in fact, precisely *because* they enter in the first law), they do not contribute in the generalised Smarr relation, which continues to

take the same form (3.29) as in the simple case when the scalar field vanishes. The formula (3.29) provides an exact expression for the gravitational mass of the black hole, which is a quantity associated with a parameter in the asymptotic solution at infinity, in terms of the quantities T and S, which are defined at the black-hole horizon. Thus even though the two-parameter black-hole solutions cannot be found explicitly, owing to the complexity of the equations of motion for the system, one still has an exact result for the ratio of mass to TS. As we have seen, this ratio is proportional to the viscosity to entropy ratio η/S, and so we are able to give an exact evaluation of this ratio, leading to the familiar result $1/(4\pi)$, even in this rather complicated class of examples.

One of the goals of this paper has been to derive the generalised Smarr relation for planar black holes in theories involving a scalar field by making use of the first law of thermodynamics, precisely because of the subtleties that arise in the first law in this case. As we saw, although the coefficients ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 in the asymptotic expansion of the scalar field enter non-trivially in the first law, the term proportional to $\phi_1\,\phi_2$ that one might have expected, à priori, to enter as a contribution in the generalised Smarr relation is actually absent. Thus by making use of the first law (3.18), we derived the generalised Smarr formula (3.29) that relates the horizon quantities T and S to the asymptotic quantity $M_{\rm grav}$ (which is proportional to the coefficient μ in the asymptotic expansion of $h(r)$). If one had taken a more restrictive viewpoint in which ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 were held fixed, or a functional relation between them imposed, then the first law would simply have read $dM = TdS$, the scaling symmetry would have been broken, and one would not have been able to derive an expression for μ in terms of T and S by this method.

The generalised Smarr relation can also be derived in a different way, by using the scaling symmetry of the planar black-hole solutions to derive a conserved Noether charge, of the form (7.5). In fact this expression not merely gives an equality of the the left-hand side at infinity (proportional to the mass) to the left-hand side on the horizon, but an equality valid at all radii r. This alternative derivation of the generalised Smarr formula also provides a further vindication of the thermodynamic interpretation [24, 25, 45] that we have adopted.

We also extended our discussion to a wide class of Einstein-Maxwell-Dilaton theories, with Lagrangian given by (6.1). These theories in general have non-minimal coupling of the scalar field to gravity, with minimal coupling arising if $\kappa(\phi) = 1$. We showed that a generalised Smarr relation of the form (5.11) (or (5.14) in $n = 4$ dimensions) holds for the general planar black-hole solutions in all these theories. Furthermore, we showed also that η/S again equals $1/(4\pi)$ for all the black-hole solutions. Note that the proof was general, in

the sense that it did not require knowledge of the explicit form of the black-hole solutions.

We have seen that the equality $\eta/S = 1/(4\pi)$ and the generalised Smarr relation go hand-in-hand for the rather general class of two-derivative theories that we have investigated. One might, in fact, say that the generalised Smarr formula is the bulk gravity holographic dual of the saturation of the η/S bound in the boundary field theory. This mapping breaks down in cases such as the higher-derivative theory involving the Gauss-Bonnet term. It would be interesting to try to obtain a deeper understanding of the circumstances under which the breakdown should occur.

Acknowledgements

H-S.L. is supported in part by NSFC grant 11305140, SFZJED grant Y201329687 and CSC scholarship No. 201408330017. C.N.P. is supported in part by DOE grant DE-FG02-13ER42020. The work of H.L. is supported in part by NSFC grants NO. 11175269, NO. 11475024 and NO. 11235003.

A Dimensional Scaling and the Standard Smarr Relation

In this appendix, we present a discussion of the standard Smarr formula for black holes in theories of gravity, as a contrast to the generalised Smarr formulae that have formed the focus of the rest of the paper.

One can obtain a relation of the general form of a Smarr relation whenever a solution has a scaling symmetry. The classic Smarr relation holds in the case of asymptotically-flat black hole solutions, with the scaling symmetry being the one where all parameters in the theory simply scale according to their "engineering" dimensions. For example, in the Reissner-Nordström black hole in n dimensions, one has

$$ds^2 = -h dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{h} + r^2\, d\Omega^2_{n-2}\,, \qquad h = 1 - \frac{\mu}{r^{n-3}} + \frac{q^2}{r^{2n-6}}\,, \tag{A.1}$$

and the first law of thermodynamics reads

$$dM = T dS + \Phi\, dQ\,, \tag{A.2}$$

where Φ is the potential difference between the horizon and infinity, and Q is the conserved charge. From the definition of h in (A.1) we see that $[\mu] = L^{n-3}$ and $[q] = L^{n-3}$. The canonical conserved mass M and charge Q have the same dimensions as μ and q respectively,

and hence there is a scaling symmetry with

$$M = \lambda^{n-3}\,\widetilde{M}\,, \qquad Q = \lambda^{n-3}\,\widetilde{Q}\,, \qquad \Phi = \widetilde{\Phi}\,, \qquad T = \lambda^{-1}\,\widetilde{T}\,, \qquad S = \lambda^{n-2}\,\widetilde{S}\,. \tag{A.3}$$

Thus $M(\lambda^{n-2}\,\widetilde{S}, \lambda^{n-3}\,\widetilde{Q}) = \lambda^{n-3}\,\widetilde{M}(\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{Q})$, and so acting with $\lambda\,\partial/\partial\lambda$ and using (A.2), one obtains the standard Smarr relation

$$M = \frac{(n-2)}{(n-3)}\,TS + \Phi\,Q\,. \tag{A.4}$$

If we now consider the Reissner-Nordström AdS black hole, where a cosmological constant $\Lambda = -(n-1)\,g^2$ has been added to the theory, and the metric function h in (A.1) becomes

$$h = g^2 r^2 + 1 - \frac{\mu}{r^{n-3}} + \frac{q^2}{r^{2n-6}}\,, \tag{A.5}$$

then the scaling symmetry is broken if Λ, which has the dimension $[\Lambda] = L^{-2}$, is treated conventionally as a fixed parameter in the theory. As a consequence, one no longer has a Smarr relation of the form (A.4).

A Smarr relation for Reissner-Nordström-AdS black hole *can* be obtained if the viewpoint is changed slightly and Λ, despite being a parameter in the Lagrangian, is treated as if it were a thermodynamical variable. The first law (A.2) then generalises to

$$dM = TdS + \Phi\,dQ + \Upsilon\,d\Lambda\,, \tag{A.6}$$

where, since the cosmological constant acts like a pressure, its thermodynamic conjugate Υ is like a volume. The standard dimensional scalings are then augmented by

$$\Lambda = \lambda^{-2}\,\widetilde{\Lambda}\,, \qquad \Upsilon = \lambda^{n-1}\,\widetilde{\Upsilon}\,, \tag{A.7}$$

and the scaling relation becomes $M(\lambda^{n-2}\,\widetilde{S}, \lambda^{n-3}\,\widetilde{Q}, \lambda^{-2}\,\widetilde{\Lambda}) = \lambda^{n-3}\,\widetilde{M}(\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\Lambda})$. Acting with $\lambda\,\partial/\partial\lambda$ and using (A.6), one obtains the Smarr relation

$$M = \frac{(n-2)}{(n-3)}\,TS + \Phi\,Q - \frac{2}{(n-2)}\,\Upsilon\,\Lambda\,. \tag{A.8}$$

Although the idea of allowing Λ to vary in the first law is not part of the classic treatment of black hole thermodynamics, we may still refer to (A.8) as a "standard" type of Smarr relation in the sense that it is based on the scaling symmetry that one can always realise, in which all parameters (including parameters in the Lagrangian if necessary) are scaled simply according to their "engineering" dimensions. We illustrated the discussion above with the simple examples of the Reissner-Nordström black holes, with or without cosmological

constant, but of course the whole discussion can be extended to include all black holes, with rotation as well, and in more complicated theories such as supergravities.

Another example of a standard Smarr relation is for the gauge dyonic black hole that we discussed in section 5. As was shown in [45]), it admits a "standard" type of Smarr relation (with the gauge parameter, related to Λ by $\Lambda = -3g^2$, treated as a thermodynamic variable too). The first law reads

$$dM = TdS + \Phi_e dQ_e + \Phi_m dQ_m + \Upsilon d\Lambda + \frac{g^2}{48\pi}(\phi_1 d\phi_2 - 2\phi_2 d\phi_1)\,, \tag{A.9}$$

where

$$\Upsilon = -\frac{1}{24\pi}\big(4\beta_1\beta_2(\beta_1+\beta_2) + 12\beta_1\beta_2 r_0 + 3(\beta_1+\beta_2)r_0^2 + r_0^3\big)\,. \tag{A.10}$$

It is then clear that the first law is invariant if all the thermodynamical quantities are scaled according to their physical dimensions. This leads to the "standard" Smarr formula

$$M = 2TS + \Phi_e Q_e + \Phi_m Q_m - 2\Upsilon\Lambda\,. \tag{A.11}$$

(This can be done both for the spherically-symmetric or the planar black holes, but here we are presenting Υ just for the planar limit that is relevant for our discussion in this paper.)

All of the above discussion in this appendix was concerned with the "standard" Smarr relations that one can derive by considering a scaling of quantities according to their "engineering" dimensions. This is to be contrasted with the scaling symmetry that has been the focus of our attention in this paper, which applies only to planar black holes and not to spherically-symmetric black holes. In particular, the scaling symmetry we have been using is one where r scales as $r \to \lambda r$ but the mass scales as $M \to \lambda^{n-1} M$, and *not* as $M \to \lambda^{n-3} M$ as it does in dimensional scaling (see (A.3)). This is because the metric function h is of the form

$$h = g^2 r^2 - \frac{\mu}{r^{n-3}} + \cdots \tag{A.12}$$

in the planar black holes, rather than $h = g^2 r^2 + 1 - \mu/r^{n-3} + \cdots$ in spherically-symmetric black holes. The scaling symmetry we wish to consider in this paper is one where g is held fixed, and thus in order for the $g^2 r^2$ and the $-\mu/r^{n-3}$ terms in h to scale the same way, we must have $\mu \to \lambda^{n-1}\mu$. This scaling symmetry would be broken if the "1" term were present in h and f, as it is in the spherically-symmetric black holes. We have consistently referred to Smarr relations derived from the $\mu \to \lambda^{n-1}\mu$ scaling as "generalised," to contrast them with the "standard" relations based on the $\mu \to \lambda^{n-3}\mu$ dimensional scaling.

References

[1] J.M. Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998), hep-th/9711200.

[2] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory*, Phys. Lett. **B428**, 105 (1998), hep-th/9802109.

[3] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998), hep-th/9802150.

[4] G. Policastro, D.T. Son and A. O. Starinets, *The shear viscosity of strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 081601 (2001), hep-th/0104066.

[5] P. Kovtun, D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, *Holography and hydrodynamics: Diffusion on stretched horizons*, JHEP **0310**, 064 (2003), hep-th/0309213.

[6] P. Kovtun, D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, *Viscosity in strongly interacting quantum field theories from black hole physics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 111601 (2005), hep-th/0405231.

[7] A. Buchel and J. T. Liu, *Universality of the shear viscosity in supergravity,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 090602 (2004), hep-th/0311175.

[8] A. Buchel, *On universality of stress-energy tensor correlation functions in supergravity,* Phys. Lett. B **609**, 392 (2005), hep-th/0408095.

[9] P. Benincasa, A. Buchel and R. Naryshkin, *The shear viscosity of gauge theory plasma with chemical potentials,* Phys. Lett. B **645**, 309 (2007), hep-th/0610145.

[10] K. Landsteiner and J. Mas, *The shear viscosity of the non-commutative plasma,* JHEP **0707**, 088 (2007), arXiv:0706.0411 [hep-th].

[11] S. Cremonini, *The shear viscosity to entropy ratio: A status report,* Mod. Phys. Lett. B **25**, 1867 (2011), arXiv:1108.0677 [hep-th].

[12] N. Iqbal and H. Liu, *Universality of the hydrodynamic limit in AdS/CFT and the membrane paradigm,* Phys. Rev. D **79**, 025023 (2009), arXiv:0809.3808 [hep-th].

[13] R.G. Cai, Z.Y. Nie and Y.W. Sun, *Shear viscosity from effective couplings of gravitons,* Phys. Rev. D **78**, 126007 (2008) [arXiv:0811.1665 [hep-th]].

[14] R.G. Cai, Z.Y. Nie, N. Ohta and Y.W. Sun, *Shear viscosity from Gauss-Bonnet gravity with a dilaton coupling,* Phys. Rev. D **79**, 066004 (2009) [arXiv:0901.1421 [hep-th]].

[15] R. Brustein, D. Gorbonos and M. Hadad, *Wald's entropy is equal to a quarter of the horizon area in units of the effective gravitational coupling,* Phys. Rev. D **79**, 044025 (2009) [arXiv:0712.3206 [hep-th]].

[16] Y. Kats and P. Petrov, *Effect of curvature squared corrections in AdS on the viscosity of the dual gauge theory,* JHEP **0901**, 044 (2009) [arXiv:0712.0743 [hep-th]].

[17] M. Brigante, H. Liu, R.C. Myers, S. Shenker and S. Yaida, *Viscosity bound violation in higher derivative gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 126006 (2008), arXiv:0712.0805 [hep-th].

[18] M. Natsuume and M. Ohta, *The shear viscosity of holographic superfluids,* Prog. Theor. Phys. **124**, 931 (2010) [arXiv:1008.4142 [hep-th]].

[19] J. Erdmenger, P. Kerner and H. Zeller, *Non-universal shear viscosity from Einstein gravity,* Phys. Lett. B **699**, 301 (2011) [arXiv:1011.5912 [hep-th]].

[20] O. Ovdat and A. Yarom, *A modulated shear to entropy ratio,* JHEP **1411**, 019 (2014) [arXiv:1407.6372 [hep-th]].

[21] X.H. Ge, Y. Ling, C. Niu and S.J. Sin, *Holographic transports and stability in anisotropic linear axion model,* arXiv:1412.8346 [hep-th].

[22] L. Smarr, *Mass formula for Kerr black holes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 71 (1973).

[23] D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, *Minkowski space correlators in AdS/CFT correspondence: Recipe and applications*, JHEP **0209**, 042 (2002), hep-th/0205051.

[24] H.S. Liu and H. Lü, *Scalar charges in asymptotic AdS geometries,* Phys. Lett. B **730**, 267 (2014), arXiv:1401.0010 [hep-th].

[25] H. Lü, C.N. Pope and Q. Wen, *Thermodynamics of AdS black holes in Einstein-Scalar gravity*, JHEP **1503**, 165 (2015), arXiv:1408.1514 [hep-th].

[26] R.M. Wald, *Black hole entropy is the Noether charge*, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 3427 (1993), gr-qc/9307038.

[27] V. Iyer and R.M. Wald, *Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy,* Phys. Rev. D **50**, 846 (1994), gr-qc/9403028.

[28] H.S. Liu, H. Lü and C.N. Pope, *Thermodynamics of Einstein-Proca AdS black holes,* JHEP **1406**, 109 (2014) [arXiv:1402.5153 [hep-th]].

[29] H.S. Liu and H. Lü, *Thermodynamics of Lifshitz black holes,* JHEP **1412**, 071 (2014) [arXiv:1410.6181 [hep-th]].

[30] Z.Y. Fan and H. Lü, *$SU(2)$-colored (A)dS black holes in conformal gravity,* JHEP **1502**, 013 (2015) [arXiv:1411.5372 [hep-th]].

[31] Z.Y. Fan and H. Lü, *Thermodynamical first laws of black holes in quadratically-extended gravities,* Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 6, 064009 (2015) [arXiv:1501.00006 [hep-th]].

[32] G. Dall'Agata, G. Inverso and M. Trigiante, *Evidence for a family of $SO(8)$ gauged supergravity theories*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 201301, arXiv:1209.0760 [hep-th].

[33] B. de Wit and H. Nicolai, *Deformations of gauged $SO(8)$ supergravity and supergravity in eleven dimensions*, JHEP **1305**, 077 (2013), arXiv:1302.6219 [hep-th].

[34] A. Anabalon, D. Astefanesei and C. Martinez, *Mass of asymptotically anti-de Sitter hairy spacetimes,* Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 4, 041501 (2015) [arXiv:1407.3296 [hep-th]].

[35] Q. Wen, *Definition of mass for asymptotically AdS space-times for gravities coupled to matter fields*, arXiv:1503.06003 [hep-th].

[36] A. Anabalon, *Exact hairy black holes,* Springer Proc. Phys. **157**, 3 (2014) [arXiv:1211.2765 [gr-qc]].

[37] P.A. González, E. Papantonopoulos, J. Saavedra and Y. Vásquez, *Four-dimensional asymptotically AdS black holes with scalar Hair,* JHEP **1312**, 021 (2013) [arXiv:1309.2161 [gr-qc]].

[38] A. Acena, A. Anabalon, D. Astefanesei and R. Mann, *Hairy planar black holes in higher dimensions,* JHEP **1401**, 153 (2014), arXiv:1311.6065 [hep-th].

[39] X.H. Feng, H. Lü and Q. Wen, *Scalar hairy black holes in general dimensions*, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 4, 044014 (2014), arXiv:1312.5374 [hep-th].

[40] Z.Y. Fan and H. Lü, *Static and dynamic hairy planar black holes,* arXiv:1505.03557 [hep-th].

[41] F. Faedo, D. Klemm and M. Nozawa, *Hairy black holes in $N = 2$ gauged supergravity*, arXiv:1505.02986 [hep-th].

[42] T. Hertog and G.T. Horowitz, *Designer gravity and field theory effective potentials,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 221301 (2005), hep-th/0412169.

[43] D. Roychowdhury, *Hydrodynamics from scalar black branes,* JHEP **1504**, 162 (2015) [arXiv:1502.04345 [hep-th]].

[44] Z.Y. Fan and H. Lü, *Charged black holes with scalar hair,* arXiv:1507.04369 [hep-th].

[45] H. Lü, Y. Pang and C.N. Pope, *AdS dyonic black hole and its thermodynamics*, JHEP **1311**, 033 (2013), arXiv:1307.6243 [hep-th].

[46] C. Martinez and J. Zanelli, *Conformally dressed black hole in* $(2+1)$*-dimensions,* Phys. Rev. D **54**, 3830 (1996), gr-qc/9604021.

[47] M. Nadalini, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, *Thermodynamical properties of hairy black holes in n spacetimes dimensions,* Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024047 (2008), arXiv:0710.2474 [hep-th].

[48] A. Anabalon, *Exact black holes and universality in the backreaction of non-linear sigma models with a potential in (A)dS*$_4$*,* JHEP **1206**, 127 (2012), arXiv:1204.2720 [hep-th].

[49] D.C. Zou, Y. Liu, B. Wang and W. Xu, *Thermodynamics of rotating black holes with scalar hair in three dimensions,* Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 10, 104035 (2014).

[50] R.G. Cai, *Gauss-Bonnet black holes in AdS spaces*, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 084014 (2002), hep-th/0109133.